

Let us go further. Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001¹ in Section 10.- (2) (b) stresses the concept of omission² which in verb form means “....to leave out or leave unmentioned..” while omission one³ similarly defines the meaning as “to fail to include or do something”. So, according to section (2) (b) a person is....

treated as
falsifying an account or other document if he

..... omits or leaves out (nr 1 – appendix 1), leaves unmentioned (nr 2 – appendix 1) or fails to include or do something (appendix 2) and “something” in this case is
a material particular

- Section 2.- (b).

This is explained in the dictionary as a specific.....detail of information⁴ having an important effect⁵

Criminal Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors, in his document dated 26th September 2023⁶ writes.....

The incident arose on
the 12th of April 2012

This is all heavily misleading⁷, false⁸ and deceptive⁹ because the incident did not arise “...on the 12th of April 2012....” but on 2nd August 2012 and Criminal Leo Fay was fully aware of this¹⁰ –

....its highness: evidence...

Criminal and monster Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors received¹¹ the parcel of documents weighing 5115 grams¹² and the parcel looked like this.¹³

1. In this parcel criminal and monster Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors received file APRIL 2021 containing APPENDIX SIX.

1.1 In this appendix page nine¹⁴ confirms that the accident took place on 2nd August 2012 and not on 12th April 2012.

¹ See appendix 9

² See appendix 1

³ See appendix 2

⁴ See appendix 3

⁵ See appendix 4

⁶ See appendix 5

⁷ See appendix 6

⁸ See appendix 7

⁹ See appendix 8

¹⁰ See appendix 9

¹¹ See appendix 10

¹² See appendix 11

¹³ See appendix 114 showing also date of posting and the delivery date.

¹⁴ See appendix 12

2. In that parcel criminal and monster Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors received file SEPTEMBER 2020 and in its ANALYSIS ONE REVISED VERSION page 43¹⁵ testifies TWO TIMES that the accident at work happened on 2nd August 2012.

3. In that parcel criminal and monster Fay Leo received file MAY 2022 and in number 12 in its APPENDIX SIX Eileen Loughlin¹⁶ confirms that the accident at work took place on 2nd August and not on 12th April 2012.

4. In that parcel, criminal and monster Leo Fay received file SEPTEMBER 2021; in its APPENDIX THE FILE document 66¹⁷ TWO TIMES confirms that the incident took place on 2nd August and not on 12 April 2012.

4.1. This file (SEPTEMBER 2021) contains ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021 that on its....

4.1.1....page 31¹⁸ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN¹⁹ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4.1.2....page 32²⁰ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN²¹ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4.1.3.....page 49²² ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN²³ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4.1.4.....page 54²⁴ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN²⁵ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4.1.5.....page 58²⁶ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN²⁷ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

¹⁵ See appendix 13

¹⁶ See appendix 14

¹⁷ See appendix 15

¹⁸ See APPENDIX 98

¹⁹ See APPENDIX 99

²⁰ See APPENDIX 100

²¹ See APPENDIX 99

²² See APPENDIX 101

²³ See APPENDIX 99

²⁴ See APPENDIX 102

²⁵ See APPENDIX 99

²⁶ See APPENDIX 103

²⁷ See APPENDIX 99

4.1.6....page 59²⁸ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN²⁹ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4.1.7....page 28³⁰ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN³¹ which confirms TWO times (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

4. In that parcel criminal Fay Leo received file MAY 2022 and its appendix newbridge³² testifies again TWO TIMES that the accident at work happened on 2nd August 2012 and not on 12th April 2012

5. In that parcel he received file APRIL 2021 and this file contained

5.1. APPENDIX TEN³³ testifying TWO TIMES that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012. and...

5.2.analysis which on its.....

5.2.1. ...page 4³⁴ TWO times refers to APPENDIX TEN and “Chief Appeals Officer in his decision”³⁵ which confirms TWO TIMES (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

5.2.2. ...page 10³⁶ FOUR times refers to APPENDIX TEN³⁷ and this APPENDIX TEN confirms TWO TIMES (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

5.2.3. ...page 17³⁸ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN³⁹ and this APPENDIX TEN confirms TWO TIMES (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

5.2.4. ...page 20⁴⁰ ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN⁴¹ and this APPENDIX TEN confirms TWO TIMES (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

²⁸ See APPENDIX 104

²⁹ See APPENDIX 99

³⁰ See APPENDIX 105

³¹ See APPENDIX 99

³² See appendix 16

³³ See appendix 17

³⁴ See APPENDIX 106

³⁵ See APPENDIX 107

³⁶ See APPENDIX 108

³⁷ See APPENDIX 107

³⁸ See APPENDIX 109

³⁹ See APPENDIX 107

⁴⁰ See APPENDIX 110

⁴¹ See APPENDIX 107

5.2.5. ...page 25⁴² ONE time refers to APPENDIX TEN⁴³ and this APPENDIX TEN confirms TWO TIMES (highlighted in green) that the incident took place on 2nd August 2012 and NOT on 12 April 2012.

The foregoing facts testify that Leo Fay omitted the material particular that the incident arose on 2nd August 2012 and **fraudulently** replaced it with 12th April 2012....After all pointed to above it is notable that this gentleman heavily and brutally violated sections 10.- (2) (b); 10⁴⁴.- (1) (a)...10.- (1) (c) and 10.- (2) (a) Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001 – pointed to earlier in this analysis. We particularly refer to nature of what Leo Fay perpetrated as documented above – having in mind...

1. Concept of *actus reus* - see:

Campbell, L. *et. al.* (2021) “Actus Reus”, *Criminal Law in Ireland: Cases and Commentary*, Clarus Press Ltd, Dublin 8, pp. 71 – 107

Mc Auley, F. and McCutcheon, J. P. (2022) “Actus Reus”, *Criminal Liability*, Thomsin Reuters (Professional) Ireland Limited, Dublin 1, pp. 209 – 305

2. Concept of *mens rea* – see:

Campbell, L. *et. al.* (2021) “Mens Rea”, *Criminal Law in Ireland: Cases and Commentary*, Clarus Press Ltd, Dublin 8, pp. 109 – 175

Mc Auley, F. and McCutcheon, J. P. (2022) “Mens Rea”, *Criminal Liability*, Thomsin Reuters (Professional) Ireland Limited, Dublin 1, pp. 473 – 551

Criminal Paul Comerford of the Legal Services Regulatory Authority ignored the handwork of this individual which is not legally acceptable particularly having in mind what is evidenced in the analysis. Such conduct of this monster - employee the Legal Services Regulatory Authority produced this Request for Access to Personal Information.⁴⁵ Brian Doherty – Ringleader of the branch of this Organized Crime Network received the request on 4th December.⁴⁶ **However, the most horrific in all this are roles of the two prominent criminals: Helen McEntee, minister for justice and Catherine Pierse, director of public prosecutions who conceal this crime and protect the perpetrators. Evidence – see post: Director of Public Prosecutions – <https://questforjustice.net/director-of-public-prosecutions-update-19-1-24/>.**

We suggest that Leo Fay and Michael J. Kennedy look closer at the Solicitor’s Guide to Professional Conduct issued by the Guidance and Ethics Committee of the Law Society. There they will find words of wisdom⁴⁷ of the Honourable Ms Justice Mary Irvine, President of the High Court 2022....We suggest them to very, very carefully read the guide through.

This Guide, in first chapter (page 13), says.....

⁴² See APPENDIX 111

⁴³ See APPENDIX 107

⁴⁴ See appendix 18

⁴⁵ See appendix 19

⁴⁶ See appendix 20

⁴⁷ See appendix 21

LSRA

DUBLIN 7.

APPENDIX 5

Our Ref: LF/HB/PEU101/7278 You Ref23/9389

Date: 26th September 2023

Re: [REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

We refer to the above and letter received on the 31st of August 2023 where we note the content of Mr [REDACTED] letter and in relation to the points raised therein we would say as follows:

Mr [REDACTED] initially made contact with us in relation to issues with his employer. The incident arose on the 12th of April 2012 and Mr [REDACTED] contacted us approximately 2.5 years after the incident.

We agreed to raise the issue with his employer given that he had numerous grievances against his then employer in relation to sick pay.

Thereafter the issues developed with regard to injuries, sustained by Mr [REDACTED] as a result of his treatment at the hands of the person he was assigned to care for, which took place in his client's house in 2012.

We wish to point out that at all times the approaches made to the Irish Wheelchair Association were on the basis of seeking to negotiate some form of settlement given that he was outside the time allowed pursuant to the Statute of Limitations.

We have dealt with all aspects of Mr [REDACTED] claim in a fairhanded manner and made him aware of his limited entitlements, which will be at the sole discretion of his former employer.

In relation to the letter of complaint dated the 7th day of July 2023, I can say that when I last met with Mr [REDACTED] on the day of June 2023 he was very clear that he wanted his case closed, which I understand to mean settled by the end of July 2023. His instructions were that if the case could not be closed by that date he would rely upon legal advice that he is receiving from Lawyers whom he became acquainted with while working for the United Nations. I told Mr [REDACTED] that I could not give him any guarantees in


Partners: Michael J. Kennedy, Leo Fay, Fiona Cullivan
Solicitor: Aileen Mollahan

VAT Reg No. [REDACTED]

29 SEP 2023
Received

relation to time limits and it may be more beneficial to pursue the alternate route as suggested by his alternate legal advisers.

Yours Faithfully,


Leo Fay

Partners: Michael J. Kennedy, Leo Fay, Fiona Cullivan
Solicitor: Aileen Mollahan

VAT Reg No. 

APPENDIX 6

The screenshot shows the Cambridge Dictionary website. The top navigation bar includes links for Dictionary, Translate, Grammar, Thesaurus, and Cambridge Dictionary +Plus. The search bar contains the word 'misleading' and is set to English. Below the search bar, the word 'misleading' is displayed in a large font, followed by the part of speech 'adjective'. Pronunciation guides for UK and US are provided. A yellow box highlights the word 'misleading' and the part of speech 'adjective'. Below this, a definition is given: 'causing someone to believe something that is not true:'. An example sentence is provided: 'misleading information/statements'. On the left side of the page, there is an 'Ads by Google' section with a button to 'Stop seeing this ad' and a link to 'Why this ad?'. The page number 'Page 1 of 1' is visible at the bottom.

Cambridge Dictionary

Dictionary Translate Grammar Thesaurus Cambridge Dictionary +Plus

misleading x English Q Grammar Eng

← Ads by Google

Stop seeing this ad

Why this ad? ▶

misleading

adjective

UK /ˌmɪsˈliː.dɪŋ/ US /ˌmɪsˈliː.dɪŋ/

B2

causing someone to believe something that is not true:

- *misleading information/statements*

Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX 7

<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/false>

The screenshot shows the Cambridge Dictionary website. The search bar contains the word 'false'. The results show the entry for 'false' as an adjective (NOT TRUE). It includes a B1 level indicator, a disapproving tone, and a definition: 'not true, but made to seem true in order to deceive people:'. Examples include 'false evidence', 'false name', and 'false identity'. There is also a section for 'under false pretenses' with a definition and an example sentence.

Cambridge Dictionary

Dictionary Translate Grammar Thesaurus Cambridge Dictionary +Plus

false English

false adjective (NOT TRUE)

B1 disapproving

not true, but made to seem true in order to deceive people:

- **false evidence** *She was charged with giving false evidence in court.*
- **false name** *When she was stopped by the police for speeding, she gave them a false name and address.*
- **false identity** *He assumed a false identity (= pretended he was someone else) in order to escape from the police.*

under false pretenses disapproving (UK under false pretences)

If you do something under false pretenses, you lie about who you are, what you are doing, or what you intend to do, in order to get something:

- *He was deported for entering the country under false pretenses.*

false adjective (NOT TRUE)

B1 disapproving

not true, but made to seem true in order to deceive people:

- **false evidence** *She was charged with giving false evidence in court.*
- **false name** *When she was stopped by the police for speeding, she gave them a false name and address.*
- **false identity** *He assumed a false identity (= pretended he was someone else) in order to escape from the police.*

under false pretenses disapproving (UK under false pretences)

If you do something under false pretenses, you lie about who you are, what you are doing, or what you intend to do, in order to get something:

- *He was deported for entering the country under false pretenses.*

APPENDIX 8

merriam-webster.com/dictionary/deceptive#:~:text=adjective,a%20deceptive%20appearance

nts for sale... Sea-front apartmen... Coastal property fo... Western Istanbul Cr... Bargain Gundogan... Charm Blue Istanbul... Gardenia Palace in... 2-bedroom apartm...

Merriam-Webster Est. 1828 Dictionary Thesaurus deceptive Games & Quizzes Word of the Day

Dictionary

Definition

Synonyms

Example Sentences

Word History

deceptive adjective

de·cep·tive (di-'sep-tiv «) »

Synonyms of *deceptive* >

: tending or having power to cause someone to accept as true or valid what is false or invalid : tending or having power to *deceive*

deceptive adjective

de·cep·tive (di-'sep-tiv «) »

Synonyms of *deceptive* >

: tending or having power to cause someone to accept as true or valid what is false or invalid : tending or having power to *deceive*

<https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/deceptive#:~:text=adjective,a%20deceptive%20appearance>

APPENDIX 9

[2001.] *Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act, 2001.* [No. 50.]

(6) A person who is arrested pursuant to this section by a person other than a member of the Garda Síochána shall be transferred by that person into the custody of the Garda Síochána as soon as practicable. Pt.2 S.8

(7) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine not exceeding £3,000 or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years or both.

9.—(1) A person who dishonestly, whether within or outside the State, operates or causes to be operated a computer within the State with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another, is guilty of an offence. Unlawful use of computer.

(2) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

10.—(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another— False accounting.

- (a) destroys, defaces, conceals or falsifies any account or any document made or required for any accounting purpose,
- (b) fails to make or complete any account or any such document, or
- (c) in furnishing information for any purpose produces or makes use of any account, or any such document, **which to his or her knowledge is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular**

(2) For the purposes of this section a person shall be treated as falsifying an account or other document if he or she—

- (a) makes or concurs in making therein an entry which is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular, or
- (b) omits or concurs in omitting a material particular therefrom.

(3) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

11.—(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another, destroys, defaces or conceals any valuable security, any will or other testamentary document or any original document of or belonging to, or filed or deposited in, any court or any government department or office. Suppression, etc., of documents.

- (2) (a) A person who dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another, by any deception procures the execution of a valuable security is guilty of an offence.

(b) *Paragraph (a)* shall apply in relation to—

APPENDIX 10

**an
post**

Proof of Delivery

For your world

Name: JON
Delivery Date: 17 Jan 2023, 06:22
Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JON', written over a horizontal line.

Barcode(s) : RL557610351IE

APPENDIX 11

RL 5576 1035 1IE

An Post Retail Receipt

Post Office: [REDACTED] Position: [REDACTED]
Date: 16-Jan-2023 Time: 13:46:07

Trans. Ref. ID [REDACTED]
Destination Ireland - 26 Counties
Weight (Parcel) 5.115 kg
RegisteredPost 17.00 EUR

TRACKING NUMBER RL 557 610 351 IE

No Commercial Value

As there has been no value declared on this item, a maximum insured value of 25 EUR will be payable in the event of a successful claim.

Total Amount Paid 17.00 EUR
Payment Method VisaDebit

APPENDIX 114

RL 5576 1035 1IE

An Post Retail Receipt

Post Office: 217 Position: 2
Date: 16-Jan-2023 Time: 13:46:07

Trans. Ref. ID	02170207019312
Destination	Ireland - 26 Counties
Weight (Parcel)	5.115 kg
RegisteredPost	17.00 EUR

TRACKING NUMBER RL 557 610 351 IE

No Commercial Value

As there has been no value declared on this item, a maximum insured value of 25 EUR will be payable in the event of a successful claim.

Total Amount Paid	17.00 EUR
Payment Method	VisaDebit

an
post

Post & Parcels

Money

Insurance

Switch Now



RL557610351IE

We delivered your post at 06:22 on 17 January 2023

[Track another item](#)

[View item history](#)

an
post

Proof of Delivery

For your world

Name: JON
Delivery Date: 17 Jan 2023, 06:22
Signature:

Barcode (s) : RL557610351IE



Dr. [REDACTED] PhD, MIACP

Counsellor & Psychotherapist

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Republic of Ireland

e-mail: [REDACTED]

Tel: [REDACTED]

Website: [REDACTED]

26th September 2014

To whom it may concern,

Mr. [REDACTED] first attended my psychotherapy practice on the 11th of December 2013, presenting with severe stress and anxiety, which was subsequently diagnosed as Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). This means that his 'Fight or Flight' response (which is caused by the stress hormones cortisol and adrenaline) is chronically activated and unable to switch off. PTSD causes daily anxiety and can have significant deleterious effects on the body, leading to insomnia and pronounced weight loss. Furthermore, this makes [REDACTED] particularly vulnerable to subsequent stressful events, which under normal circumstances might be dealt with easily but not for those suffering with PTSD. Current research into PTSD has shown that the disorder can last anywhere from months to years and requires regular psychotherapy in addition to participation in stress reduction programs, if it is to be resolved. [REDACTED] is committed to attending psychotherapy sessions with me in order to alleviate his symptoms and resolve his PTSD, in addition to his work with occupational therapy and the psychiatric unit in the [REDACTED] Health Centre.

[REDACTED] PTSD is a result of prolonged and sustained abuse (that culminated in the accident on 2nd August 2012) by a single individual whom he worked for (as part of the Irish Wheelchair Association). His symptoms began soon after leaving his employer in August 2012, which is commonly the case with PTSD. Unfortunately, it is not possible to determine when the symptoms of PTSD will subside; it is dependent on individual susceptibility to stress. It is hoped that with continued support [REDACTED] will recover eventually. This means that for the time being [REDACTED] is unable to withstand the common stresses of the standard work environment and therefore not in a position to gain employment.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Dr. [REDACTED] (PhD)

APPENDIX 13

SEPTEMBER 2020 – ANALYSIS ONE REVISED VERSION

Figure 36

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH		SOCIAL WELFARE APPEALS OFFICE
[Redacted]		
31 August 2015		
Appeal No: 15/06101		
PPS No: [Redacted]		
Appeal Type: OIB Disablement		
Dear [Redacted]		
I have been asked by the Chief Appeals Officer to refer to your OIB Disablement appeal, and to inform you that the Appeals Officer's decision is as follows:		
"The appeal is allowed."		
A note on the reasons for the Appeals Officers decision is set out hereunder.		
"I note the specialist evidence in this case refers to the Appellant's PTSD resulting from prolonged and sustained abuse, which culminated in an accident on 2nd August, 2012. Whereas there appears to have been a number of incidents, specifically interpersonal issues, arising in the workplace over a number of years I must consider that the appellant, particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, has established that an incident occurred on 2nd August, 2012, which led to his psychological injury. In the circumstances, I am satisfied that it has been established that the personal injury, to which the medical evidence attests, may be deemed to have been caused by an accident, in line with the provisions of Social Welfare legislation. In the circumstances, the appeal succeeds."		
A copy of this letter has been sent to OIB Disablement Section of the Department of Social Protection and you should note that any enquiries regarding the implementation of the Appeals Officers decision should be directed to that section.		
Yours sincerely  Laura Bourke, Clerical Officer		
SWAO11		
[Redacted]		

APPENDIX 14

MAY 2022 - ANALYSIS MAY 2022
APPENDIX SIX



Department of Social Protection - An Roinn Coimirce Sóisialaí

Social Welfare Services, [redacted] Longford

Direct Line: [redacted] LoCall: [redacted]

25/11/2015



Dear Mr [redacted]

Your Reference: [redacted]

I refer to your claim for Disablement Benefit in respect of an accident on 2/8/2012

A decision, based on a FINAL assessment, has resulted in the following being awarded to you:

A Life Pension at the weekly rate of: * €43.80

With effect from: 19/9/2014

Based on a 'Loss of Faculty' of: 20 %

*may be subject to Budgetary changes

This pension will be issued by your selected method of payment. If you were previously on a higher rate of pension, there will be no arrears due. However, if you were on a lower rate, or not paid before this, there may be arrears due, which will issue separately.

Please note that Disablement Pensions are liable for tax under Revenue legislation.

If you are unhappy with this decision, you may appeal it by writing to the Social Welfare Appeals Office, [redacted] Dublin 2, within 21 days of the date of this letter. You must state clearly the grounds of your appeal. Any medical evidence which you wish to furnish in support of your appeal, should be attached. It would be helpful if you could forward a copy of this decision letter with your appeal.

Please find enclosed a booklet with further information

Yours sincerely

Eileen McLoughlin

Disciplinary Policy &
Procedure enclosed

10th August 2012

I am writing in connection with your email dated 7th August 2012 regarding your interactions with your PA, [REDACTED] on Thursday 2nd August 2012.

I wish to advise you that an investigation will be conducted into this matter in accordance with the Association's Disciplinary Policy and Procedure, a copy of which is enclosed for information purposes. This will be conducted by Karen Dempsey (Support Worker) and me, Anne Lowry (Area Manager). In this regard, we would welcome the opportunity to meet with you to discuss events which occurred on 2nd August 2012.

I would therefore like to invite you to a meeting on Monday 20th August 2012 at 11.00am in IWA premises, [REDACTED] Dublin 3. If you so wish, you may be accompanied to the meeting by a representative of your choice.

If you have any queries in relation to the above, please let me know.

Yours sincerely,

Anne Lowry

Anne Lowry
Area Manager


APPENDIX 98

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021



particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, (see

APPENDIX TEN)





APPENDIX 99

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021 APPENDIX TEN

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC
LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH



SOCIAL WELFARE
APPEALS OFFICE

31 August 2015

Appeal No: 15/06101
PPS No: [REDACTED]
Appeal Type: OIB Disablement

Dear [REDACTED]

I have been asked by the Chief Appeals Officer to refer to your OIB Disablement appeal, and to inform you that the Appeals Officer's decision is as follows:

"The appeal is allowed."

A note on the reasons for the Appeals Officers decision is set out hereunder.

"I note the specialist evidence in this case refers to the Appellant's PTSD resulting from prolonged and sustained abuse, which culminated in an accident on 2nd August, 2012. Whereas there appears to have been a number of incidents, specifically interpersonal issues, arising in the workplace over a number of years I must consider that the appellant, particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, has established that an incident occurred on 2nd August, 2012, which led to his psychological injury. In the circumstances, I am satisfied that it has been established that the personal injury, to which the medical evidence attests, may be deemed to have been caused by an accident, in line with the provisions of Social Welfare legislation. In the circumstances, the appeal succeeds."

A copy of this letter has been sent to OIB Disablement Section of the Department of Social Protection and you should note that any enquiries regarding the implementation of the Appeals Officers decision should be directed to that section.

Yours sincerely

Laura Bourke, Clerical Officer

SWAO11

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH

SOCIAL WELFARE APPEALS OFFICE


APPENDIX 100

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021

ALLOW MY APPLICATION (see APPENDIX TEN)

APPENDIX 101

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021





CIRCUMSTANCES OF HIS APOLOGY – “I MUST CONSIDER...” (see APPENDIX
TEN)

APPENDIX 102

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021




He (see APPENDIX TEN) writes.....



APPENDIX 103

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021

(see APPENDIX TEN) pointed to document on page 9 in APPENDIX SIX of April 2021 file



APPENDIX 16

MAY 2022 - ANALYSIS MAY 2022
APPENDIX NEWBRIDGE

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC
LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH



SOCIAL WELFARE
APPEALS OFFICE

31 August 2015

Appeal No: 15/06101

PPS No: [REDACTED]

Appeal Type: OIB Disablement

Dear [REDACTED]

I have been asked by the Chief Appeals Officer to refer to your OIB Disablement appeal, and to inform you that the Appeals Officer's decision is as follows:

"The appeal is allowed."

5

1

A note on the reasons for the Appeals Officers decision is set out hereunder.

"I note the specialist evidence in this case refers to the Appellant's PTSD resulting from prolonged and sustained abuse, which culminated in an accident on 2nd August, 2012. Whereas there appears to have been a number of incidents, specifically interpersonal issues, arising in the workplace over a number of years I must consider that the appellant, particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, has established that an incident occurred on 2nd August, 2012, which led to his psychological injury. In the circumstances, I am satisfied that it has been established that the personal injury, to which the medical evidence attests, may be deemed to have been caused by an accident, in line with the provisions of Social Welfare legislation. In the circumstances, the appeal succeeds."

A copy of this letter has been sent to OIB Disablement Section of the Department of Social Protection and you should note that any enquiries regarding the implementation of the Appeals Officers decision should be directed to that section.

2

3

Yours sincerely

4

6


Laura Bourke, Clerical Officer

7

SWAO11

APPENDIX 17

ANALYSIS APRIL 2021 - APPENDIX TEN

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC
LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH



SOCIAL WELFARE
APPEALS OFFICE

31 August 2015

Appeal No: 15/06101

PPS No: [REDACTED]

Appeal Type: OIB Disablement

Dear [REDACTED]

I have been asked by the Chief Appeals Officer to refer to your OIB Disablement appeal, and to inform you that the Appeals Officer's decision is as follows:

"The appeal is allowed."

A note on the reasons for the Appeals Officers decision is set out hereunder.

"I note the specialist evidence in this case refers to the Appellant's PTSD resulting from prolonged and sustained abuse, which culminated in an accident on 2nd August, 2012. Whereas there appears to have been a number of incidents, specifically interpersonal issues, arising in the workplace over a number of years I must consider that the appellant, particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, has established that an incident occurred on 2nd August, 2012, which led to his psychological injury. In the circumstances, I am satisfied that it has been established that the personal injury, to which the medical evidence attests, may be deemed to have been caused by an accident, in line with the provisions of Social Welfare legislation. In the circumstances, the appeal succeeds."

A copy of this letter has been sent to OIB Disablement Section of the Department of Social Protection and you should note that any enquiries regarding the implementation of the Appeals Officers decision should be directed to that section.

Yours sincerely

Laura Bourke, Clerical Officer

SWAO11

APPENDIX 104

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021

findings testify on it in APPENDIX TEN.

APPENDIX 105

SEPTEMBER 2021 – ANALYSIS SEPTEMBER THIRD 2021

All this is undeniable evidence that management of Irish Wheelchair Association FULLY APPROVED and UNCONDITIONALLY SUPPORTED⁹³.....

⁹⁴ See APPENDIX TEN

[REDACTED]

The appeals officer at the later stage, by force of law, had to correct⁴ and corrected what happened (see APPENDIX TEN).

[REDACTED]

FURTHER, CHIEF
APPEALS OFFICER IN HIS DECISION IN NO A SINGLE WORD REFERS TO

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

APPENDIX 107

ANALYSIS APRIL 2021 - APPENDIX TEN

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC
LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH



SOCIAL WELFARE
APPEALS OFFICE

31 August 2015

Appeal No: 15/06101

PPS No: [REDACTED]

Appeal Type: OIB Disablement

Dear [REDACTED]

I have been asked by the Chief Appeals Officer to refer to your OIB Disablement appeal, and to inform you that the Appeals Officer's decision is as follows:

"The appeal is allowed."

A note on the reasons for the Appeals Officers decision is set out hereunder.

"I note the specialist evidence in this case refers to the Appellant's PTSD resulting from prolonged and sustained abuse, which culminated in an accident on 2nd August, 2012. Whereas there appears to have been a number of incidents, specifically interpersonal issues, arising in the workplace over a number of years I must consider that the appellant, particularly by reference to the specialist evidence, has established that an incident occurred on 2nd August, 2012, which led to his psychological injury. In the circumstances, I am satisfied that it has been established that the personal injury, to which the medical evidence attests, may be deemed to have been caused by an accident, in line with the provisions of Social Welfare legislation. In the circumstances, the appeal succeeds."

A copy of this letter has been sent to OIB Disablement Section of the Department of Social Protection and you should note that any enquiries regarding the implementation of the Appeals Officers decision should be directed to that section.

Yours sincerely

Laura Bourke, Clerical Officer

SWAO11

OIFIG ACHOMHAIRC LEASA SHÓISIALAIGH


SOCIAL WELFARE APPEALS OFFICE

In appendix ten it is notable that

apart from what is quoted in the APPENDIX TEN,

Ms Laura Bourke, clerical officer of the Appeals office, in APPENDIX TEN, writes that....

(see APPENDIX TEN)




still lasting and it is not known whether the illness will ever end or not (see APPENDIX TWENTY TWO, APPENDIX TWENTY THREE, APPENDIX TEN)



APPENDIX 110

ANALYSIS APRIL 2021

It is officially proved (see APPENDIX TEN) that all of this is the direct consequence of the inhumanity and bullying I was exposed to in the Irish Wheelchair Association.



⁵⁰ See nr 1....APPENDIX NEWBRIDGE and see also APPENDIX TEN