



On Wednesday, 2nd February 2022 at 12:30¹ the victim ordered (online) printing ink and printing paper.² Then on 3rd February 2022 at 10:28 in the morning when tracking his order, he noted horrific and alarming information in number 2...page 2...APPENDIX 2 (see also number 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2)



At this point it is, indeed, important to point out that number 3...page 2...APPENDIX 2 (see also number 3...page 2a...APPENDIX 2)



...and number 4...APPENDIX 1



...refer to the same tracking ID.

After this the victim immediately (on 3rd February 2022 at 10:34) e-mailed firm which he bought the paper and ink from³ and FASTWAY – Irish delivery company (through their online form)⁴ The firm, the victim bought paper and ink from, responded on 3rd February 2022 at 11:22.⁵

After raising the alarm the victim received an e-mail⁶ of Forrest Conlon – Customer Service Executive in Fastway in which he writes that...

¹ See nr 1...APPENDIX 1

² See nr 2...page 1...APPENDIX 1 and nr 2...page 2...APPENDIX 1

³ See nr 1...APPENDIX 3

⁴ See APPENDIX 5

⁵ See nr 1...APPENDIX 4

⁶ See... APPENDIX 7

Your goods are confirmed delivered to a safe delivery location

However, he did not write **AT WHICH PRECISELY ...** "a safe delivery location"...the victim should look for his parcel. Conlon Forrest did not write it because he was aware that the victim's parcel was ALL THAT TIME in possession of ...

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

Their transparent thinking: If the victim does not raise the alarm we'll get away with the theft. If he raises the alarm we will simply deliver the parcel. However the Fastway weakest point was not...

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

...BUT FORREST CONLON and his email (APPENDIX 7) in which he heavily accused himself, confessed to perpetrating criminal offences and which demolished their entire plot. Having in mind the study of unconscious mental processes and motives^{7, 8, 9} as well as those of theory of probability^{10, 11} and all seven¹² basic principles of logical reasoning¹³ we positively claim

⁷ Cherry, K. (2021) "The Influence of Psychoanalysis on the Field of Psychology," *Verywellmind*, Available at: <https://www.verywellmind.com/what-is-psychoanalysis-2795246>, Accessed on 2nd July 2024

⁸ Freud, S. (2012) *A General Introduction to Psychoanalysis*, Wordsworth Editions Ltd, Stansted

⁹ Bateman, A. and Holmeds J. (1995) *Introduction to Psychoanalysis: Contemporary Theory and Practice*, Routledge, New York

¹⁰ de Finetti, B. (2017) *Theory of Probability: A Critical Introductory Treatment*, John Willey & Sons Ltd, Chichester-West Sussex

¹¹ Gillies, D. (2000) *Philosophical Theories of Probability*, Routledge, London

¹² Modus Ponens,
Modus Tollens,
Two Modus Ponens arguments forming a conjunction
Destructive Dilemma,
Hypothetical Syllogism,
Disjunctive Syllogism,
Proof by Contradiction

¹³ Schneck, D. (2008) "Seven Basic Principles of Logical Reasoning", *American Laboratory*, Volume 40, No 14, pp. 4-5

...see APPENDIX 8

It is impossible that...

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

...DID NOT KNOW THE PRECISE LOCATION OF...

safe delivery location

See nr 2...APPENDIX 7

...he delivered the victim's parcel to and where he received the signature¹⁴... That further explains why Conlon Forrest REJECTED TO SEND THE VICTIM PRECISELY TO WHERE HIS COURIER/SORTER 475 LEFT THE VICTIM'S PARCEL.

Instead of that he writes...(see nr 3...APPENDIX 7)

Can you possibly check the area's highlighted

3

...which, in other words, means ...can you possibly check ALL...

behind side gates or with a neighbour

closed porch's , shed's ,

4

...closed porch's, shed's, behind side gates or with a neighbour (see nr 4...APPENDIX 7)

This is an attempt to save the stealer and that further explains WHY Forrest Conlon PULLS THE WOOL OVER THE VICTIM'S EYES sending him to wander about ALL...

¹⁴ See nr 1 and 2...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1 and 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

2022-02-03 09:05:11

Region: Midlands

Signature captured on paper.

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Label: 3C1000242157

...closed porch's, shed's, behind side gates or with a neighbour (see nr 4...APPENDIX 7)

...to look for what Fastway Couriers **HAD TO BRING TO THE VICTIM'S APARTMENT ENTRY DOOR AND NOT TO...**

safe delivery location

See nr 2...APPENDIX 7

...because ALL necessary data¹⁵ were (ALL THE TIME) at the disposal of courier/sorter 475.

WHO INSTRUCTED FASTWAY COURIERS TO DELIVER THE PARCEL TO A SAFE DELIVERY LOCATION?????????

Why all this happened??? It happened because the Fastway's courier/sorter 475 (being fully aware of what he is perpetrating) **STOLE, we repeat, STOLE** the victim's parcel on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11



2

See number 2...page 2...APPENDIX 2 (see also number 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2)

Desperately attempting to conceal the stealer (courier/sorter 475) and desperately attempting to conceal the scandal perpetrator Conlon Forrest RESOLUTELY REJECTS to refer to...

... **WHERE PRECISELY...**

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

...left the victim's parcel and....

¹⁵ See APPENDIX 9

... **WHERE PRECISELY...** the signature was captured on paper, **WHO** received the signature and the most important **WHO** signed (**WHO** gave the signature) because the victim did not sign anything¹⁶ and **DID NOT INSTRUCT FASTWAY COURIERS TO DELIVER HIS PARCEL TO...**

safe delivery location

See nr 2...APPENDIX 7

On the contrary the victim instructed Fastaway Couriers to deliver his parcel to where he lives and apart from it, to avoid any misunderstanding the victim included his phone number in the instruction and the postal code (see number 3...APPENDIX 9). Number 2 in the figure hereunder undeniably testifies that this is the label of Fastway Couriers – does it not?




¹⁶ See nr 2...APPENDIX 3

SIMPLY IGNORING ALL THAT FORREST CONLON WRITES (see APPENDIX 7)....

Your goods are confirmed delivered to a safe delivery location

UNBELIEVABLE!!!

On page 5...APPENDIX 5...in his online notification to Fastway Couriers the victim clearly writes that "THERE WAS NO incoming call on my mobile." WHY WAS THE CALL MISSING???? Criminal Forrest Conlon in APPENDIX 7 simply ignored this point.



On the other hand, when the victim intellectually forced these perpetrators into a place of no escape then courier/sorter 475 mysteriously "recollected" everything that is written in number 3...APPENDIX 9... (see also the image hereunder)



...CAME RIGHT TO THE FRONT DOOR OF THE BUILDING IN WHICH THE VICTIM LIVED IN HIS APARTMENT, **PHONED**¹⁷ THE VICTIM AT 13:09 AND DELIVERED THE PARCEL (see number 1...APPENDIX 6 and see also the image hereunder)

¹⁷ ...why phoning at 13:09 why there was no any phoning at 09:05:11 (see nr 2...page 2...APPENDIX 2)


2022-02-03 09:05:11

2

Signature captured on paper.

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

If courier/sorter 475 delivered the victim's parcel and captured the signature on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11 **WHAT, ON EARTH, HE DELIVERED ON THE SAME DAY WHEN HE PHONED THE VICTIM AT 13:09** (see nr 1...APPENDIX 6). We also have the second signature at 13:09. However, one the same parcel **CANNOT** be delivered **TWO** times and capturing signature **CANNOT** take place **TWO** times. All this is one big OK with Leo Fay-partner in Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors?!



03/02/2022 at 13:09

1

All what we pointed to in this analysis explains why Forrest Conlon never responded to the request for access to personal information. This request stressed importance of TWO, we repeat, TWO signatures and evidence – **WHO, WHO** and **WHO** instructed courier/sorter 475 to deliver the victim’s parcel to a safe delivery location.¹⁸ Rejecting to respond to the Data Request Forrest Conlon – Customer Service Executive at Fastway Couriers brutally violated....

- Section 10.- (1) (a) – concealing - Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001¹⁹

Apart from it the paper proves that this criminal further violated...

- Sections 7 and 8 – Criminal Law Act 1997²⁰ and as a member of the Criminal Organization...
- ...Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006²¹

We already pointed out above that the victim did not sign anything...

HAVE NOT SIGNED ANYTHING.

2

... on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11.²² It is, indeed, of the utmost importance to stress that so precise time (09:05:11) is undeniable evidence of SCANNING – Ergo, courier/sorter 475 SCANNED the parcel barcode at 09:05:11 on 3rd February 2022

(see nr 2 on pages 2 and 2a...APPENDIX 2)

¹⁸ See APPENDIX 33
¹⁹ See APPENDIX 10
²⁰ See APPENDIX 25
²¹ See APPENDIX 29
²² See nr 2...APPENDIX 3

2022-02-03 09:05:11

2

Signature captured on paper.

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Region: Midlands

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Label: 3C1000242157

Apart from it perpetrator of criminal offences Forrest Conlon **RESOLUTELY** rejected to show the **TWO** signatures captured on paper and **the evidence** instructing his courier/sorter 475 to deliver the victim’s parcel to “a safe delivery location” (see APPENDIX 33)

All the foregoing would enable questioning ALL THE THREE²³ perpetrators of criminal offences who would be legally forced to explain...

- ...where courier/sorter 475 left the victim’s parcel...
- ...where courier/sorter 475 captured the signature on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11²⁴
- ...why the TWO victim’s requests for access to personal information were returned undelivered: see the introductory text - <https://questforjustice.net/fastway-couriers/>
- ... why Forrest Conlon PULLS THE WOOL OVER THE VICTIM’S EYES sending him to wander about...

closed porch's , shed's , behind side gates or with a neighbour .

...closed porch’s, shed’s, behind side gates or with a neighbour (see APPENDIX 7)

- ...why criminal Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors concealed the entire file

Conlon Forrest continues this masquerade (see APPENDIX 7)...

Hi

Thank you for contacting Fastway Couriers.

2
1

Your goods are confirmed delivered to a safe delivery location , safe delivery locations are normally closed porch's , shed's , behind side gates or with a neighbour . Can you possibly check the area's highlighted , if you have not received please make contact.

In other words courier/sorter 475 (in nr 2 on pages 2 and 2a...APPENDIX 2) does confirm that the signature was captured on paper and that the signature was received...

2022-02-03 09:05:11

2

Signature captured on paper.

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Region: Midlands

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Label: 3C1000242157

²³ Courier/sorter 475

Forrest Conlon – Customer Service Executive and...

Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors – We will pay more attention to this insolent scoundrel.

²⁴ See nr 2 on pages 2 and 2a...APPENDIX 2

...at closed porch's, shed's, behind side gates or with a neighbour²⁵


closed porch's , shed's ,
behind side gates or with a neighbour .

(see APPENDIX 7)

THAT IS HORRIFIC THEFT!!!

Irrespective of all that these two perpetrators of criminal offences²⁶ “DO NOT KNOW WHO” was hidden at closed porch's, shed's or behind side gates who signed the delivery. Apart from it they BOTH resolutely reject to SHOW the “signature of the unknown person.” This particularly relates to determination of Forrest Conlon²⁷ to commit the crime.

Namely, Customer Service Executive writes that the victim's parcel, on 3rd February 2022 at 13:26,²⁸



...is at a...

safe delivery location

...irrespective of knowing that his....

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

²⁵ These are words of Forrest Conlon – Customer Service Executive in Fastway (see APPENDIX 7)

²⁶ This particularly relates to courier/sorter 475

²⁷ See APPENDIX 33 – Forrest Conlon NEVER provided the victim with the two requested signatures and the evidence instructing courier/sorter 475 to deliver the victim's parcel to “a safe delivery location.”

²⁸ See nr 1...APPENDIX 7

(...at this point we draw attention to time – 13:26 – that is the crucial information at the moment)

...already delivered the parcel **15 MINUTES EARLIER**. First, courier/sorter 475 phoned the victim at... **13:09**

1

03/02/2022 at 13:09

(see nr 1...APPENDIX 6)

... and, two minutes later (at 13:11), when handing over the parcel to the victim...

Courier/Sorter: **475**

...scanned²⁹ the parcel's barcode³⁰ which IMMEDIATELY was displayed on the screen in front of eyes of Conlon Forrest. Irrespective of that at 13:26 Conlon Forrest writes.....see...APPENDIX 7

2
1

Your goods are confirmed delivered to a safe delivery location, safe delivery locations are normally closed porch's , shed's , behind side gates or with a neighbour . Can you possibly check the area's highlighted , if you have not received please make contact.

Kind Regards

Forrest Conlon

Customer Service Executive

... and in that way heavily violates...

²⁹ It is indeed important to point out that the victim on this occasion did sign the delivery.

After all criminal Conlon Forrest – Customer Service Executive rejected to show the two signatures and the Evidence instructing his courier/sorter 475 to deliver the victim's parcel to “a safe delivery location” ...it is not difficult to guess why. (see APPENDIX 33)

³⁰ **PRINTED ON THE FASTWAY LABEL** - See nrs 1, 2 and 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9

- Section 10.- (1) (c) Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001³¹
- Section 10.- (1) (a) – falsification - in connection with Section 10.- (2) (a) – Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001³²
- Sections 7 and 8 – Criminal Law Act 1997³³ and as a member of the Criminal Organization...
- ...Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006³⁴

“criminal organisation” means a structured group, however organised, that—

- (a) is composed of 3 or more persons acting in concert,
- (b) is established over a period of time,
- (c) has as its main purpose or main activity the commission or facilitation of one or more serious offences in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit;

- Section 10.- Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001³⁵...

³¹ See APPENDIX 10

³² See APPENDIX 10

³³ See APPENDIX 25

³⁴ See APPENDIX 29

³⁵ See APPENDIX 10

10.—(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another—

(a) destroys, defaces, conceals or falsifies any account or any document made or required for any accounting purpose,

(b) fails to make or complete any account or any such document, or

(c) in furnishing information for any purpose produces or makes use of any account, or any such document, which to his or her knowledge is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular.

(2) For the purposes of this section a person shall be treated as falsifying an account or other document if he or she—

(a) makes or concurs in making therein an entry which is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular, or

(b) omits or concurs in omitting a material particular therefrom.

(3) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

It is horrific!!!

Bar codes in nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 9 and nr 4...page 1...APPENDIX 1 do confirm that the parcel 123ink.ie mailed reached the Fastway.³⁶

Perpetrators of criminal offences Forrest Conlon and his courier/sorter: 475 firmly decided to steal the victim's parcel and as the precondition of the theft they simply ignored BOTH: the postal code and the victim's cell phone number.³⁷ This postal code brings the deliverer of the parcel right to the victim's apartment entry door and **NOT**...

³⁶ Number 2...page 2...APPENDIX 9

³⁷ See nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9

2

to a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

The postal code and the victim's cell phone number (see the image hereunder) exclude even the smallest fraction of missing the victim's address. However, the Fastway courier/sorter **IGNORED BOTH** the postal code and the victim's cell phone number... (see nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9)



...and “delivered”³⁸ the parcel...

2

to a safe delivery location

...which DOES NOT exist!!!

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

That is undeniably premeditated violation of Section 10.- (1) (c) and Section 10.- (1) (a) – falsification in connection with Section 10.- (2) (a) – Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001,³⁹ sections 7 and 8 – Criminal Law Act 1997⁴⁰ and what is the most horrific violation of Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006⁴¹

In the end, after raising the alarm at 10:34⁴² in which the victim intellectually forced Forrest Conlon and courier/sorter 475 into a place of no escape..

Courier/Sorter: 475

See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

...telephoned the victim on 3rd February 2022 at 13:09⁴³ and delivered the parcel. Ergo, courier/sorter 475 “delivered” the same parcel...(see nr 1...APPENDIX 9)

³⁸ See nr 1...page 2...APPENDIX 2 and see also nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

³⁹ See APPENDIX 10

⁴⁰ See APPENDIX 25

⁴¹ See APPENDIX 29

⁴² See nr 1...APPENDIX 3



Thu, 3 Feb at 10:34

1

⁴³ See nr 1...APPENDIX 6




...AND SCANNED THE SAME PARCEL TWO TIMES, WE REPEAT TWO TIMES. First time (false delivery) on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11 (see nr 2 on pages 2 and 2a...APPENDIX 2)...



1 Region: **Midlands**
Courier/Sorter: **475**
Label: **3C1000242157**

...and second time (the real delivery) two minutes after he telephoned the victim on 3rd February 2022 at... **13:09**

1



(see nr 1...APPENDIX 6)

Legal consequences...

In nr 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2 Courier/sorter: 475....



...deliberately violated Section 10.- (1) (a) – falsification in connection with Section 10.- (2) (a) – Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001⁴⁴

10.—(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another—

(a) destroys, defaces, conceals or falsifies any account or any document made or required for any accounting purpose,

(b) fails to make or complete any account or any such document, or

(c) in furnishing information for any purpose produces or makes use of any account, or any such document, which to his or her knowledge is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular.

(2) For the purposes of this section a person shall be treated as falsifying an account or other document if he or she—

(a) makes or concurs in making therein an entry which is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular, or

(b) omits or concurs in omitting a material particular therefrom.

(3) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

...BECAUSE on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11...

- the victim's signature was not captured on paper
- the victim's parcel was not delivered to the victim and
- the victim's signature was not received

Courier/sorter 475 intentionally perpetrated this criminal offence irrespective of having **in due course BOTH the postal code and the victim's cell phone number**⁴⁵...

⁴⁴ See APPENDIX 10

⁴⁵ See nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9



...and they exclude...

2
1
a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

However, courier/sorter 475 (read Fastway Couriers) had in his mind ONLY THE THEFT and he was not interested in anything else! Ergo, courier/sorter 475 deliberately violated...

- Section 10.- (1) (c)⁴⁶ of this Act...

10.—(1) A person is guilty of an offence if he or she dishonestly, with the intention of making a gain for himself or herself or another, or of causing loss to another—

(c) in furnishing information for any purpose produces or makes use of any account, or any such document, which to his or her knowledge is or may be misleading, false or deceptive in a material particular.

(3) A person guilty of an offence under this section is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

- ...Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006⁴⁷ and...
- Section 4.- Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001⁴⁸

⁴⁶ See APPENDIX 10

⁴⁷ See APPENDIX 29

⁴⁸ See APPENDIX 12

This is how Section 4.- begins...

[No. 50.] *Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act, 2001.* [2001.]

PART 2

THEFT AND RELATED OFFENCES

4.—(1) Subject to section 5, a person is guilty of theft if he or she dishonestly appropriates property without the consent of its owner and with the intention of depriving its owner of it.

It is important to say that Section 5,⁴⁹ Section 4.- (1) points to, is not applicable in these circumstances.

We wrote above that courier/sorter 475 violated ...Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006⁵⁰

“criminal organisation” means a structured group, however organised, that—

- (a) is composed of 3 or more persons acting in concert,
- (b) is established over a period of time,
- (c) has as its main purpose or main activity the commission or facilitation of one or more serious offences in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit;

He perpetrated the violation acting in concert with Forest Conlon (Customer Service Executive), the Group Chief Executive and Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors from Baldoyle. Somewhat later more evidence of this criminal organization.

We pointed to above that Courier/sorter 475 violated Section 10.- (1) (c)⁵¹ and he perpetrated that violation...

...BECAUSE he DID KNOW that his account in nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2...

⁴⁹ See pages 11 and 12...APPENDIX 12

⁵⁰ See APPENDIX 29

⁵¹ See APPENDIX 10

Signature captured on paper.

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Label: 3C1000242157

...is completely and simultaneously misleading,⁵² false⁵³ and deceptive⁵⁴ because...

...he DID KNOW that "...a safe delivery location..."⁵⁵ is not where the victim lives. We positively say he DID KNOW because (we will repeat this one thousand times) postal code and the victim's cell phone number⁵⁶ CANNOT bring him ...

2

to a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

Courier/sorter 475 DID KNOW that the victim's signature CANNOT be captured on paper

2022-02-03 09:05:11

2

Signature captured on paper.

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

(see number 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2)

at...

⁵² See page 1...APPENDIX 11

⁵³ See page 2...APPENDIX 11

⁵⁴ See page 3...APPENDIX 11

⁵⁵ See nr 2...APPENDIX 7

⁵⁶ See nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9 and nr 3...page 1...APPENDIX 9 (it is very important to point out that these respected members of the Irish society courier/sorter 475, Forest Conlon - Customer Service Executive and the Group Chief Executive Officer have NEVER given even one single detail about "a safe delivery location" – why??? Because "safe delivery location NEVER existed")

2

a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7) ...but at the victim's apartment entry door as the postal code determines... See nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9

APPENDIX 9

page 2



Courier/sorter 475 did know that the victim's instruction to bring the parcel to...

2

a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

...DOES NOT EXIST!!!

However, it did not matter to him...what was the most important was how to steal the victim's parcel.

The postal code⁵⁷ brings him right to entry door of the apartment the victim lived in. Having that in mind the courier/sorter 475 was absolutely aware that EVERYTHING, we repeat, EVERYTHING HE DID in his account in nr 1...page 2a...APPENDIX 2...

2022-02-03 09:05:11

Region: Midlands

Signature captured on paper.

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Label: 3C1000242157

...was completely and simultaneously misleading,⁵⁸ false⁵⁹ and deceptive⁶⁰ and was done WITHOUT the victim's knowledge, approval or request. After all that this analysis proved, it is clear that the excerpt hereunder⁶¹

2022-02-03 09:05:11

Region: Midlands

Signature captured on paper.

1

Courier/Sorter: 475

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Label: 3C1000242157

⁵⁷ See nr 3...page 2...APPENDIX 9

⁵⁸ See page 1...APPENDIX 11

⁵⁹ See page 2...APPENDIX 11

⁶⁰ See page 3...APPENDIX 11

⁶¹ See pages 2 and 2a...APPENDIX 2

...**UNDENIABLY** evidenced... Irish Fastway Couriers **COMPLETED** the theft on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11 – the moment when the “Signature...”⁶² was “...**captured on paper,**” when courier/sorter 475 “**received a signature**”⁶³ and “**delivered**” the parcel...

2
1

to a safe delivery location

(see nr 2...APPENDIX 7)

Let us now have a closer look at section 32⁶⁴ of the Larceny Act 1916 and Part 2⁶⁵ of the Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001. Section 32⁶⁶ of the Larceny Act 1916 begins in this way...

32. Every person who by any false pretence—

FALSE..... in Cambridge dictionary refers to something “not true, but made to seem true in order to deceive people.....”⁶⁷ and this definition on the same page 2 of APPENDIX 11 continues “.....if you do something under **FALSE PRETENCES**⁶⁸, you lie about who you are, what you are doing, or what you intend to do, in order to get something...”⁶⁹

The classical example of this definition in real life is account of courier/sorter 475⁷⁰

2022-02-03 09:05:11

Region: **Midlands**

Signature captured on paper.

1

Courier/Sorter: **475**

Your parcel has been delivered and a signature received.

Label: **3C1000242157**

All above pointed to imposes application of Section 32 in the Larceny Act 1916. The facts are unrelenting!!!

⁶² ...which Fastway are concealing

⁶³ **WHOSE SIGNATURE????????????????????**

⁶⁴ See APPENDIX 13

⁶⁵ See APPENDIX 12...Section 4.-(1) in this appendix (APPENDIX 12) begins in this way “...Subject to Section 5...” We wish to point out (to be clear) that Section 5 is not applicable in these circumstances.

⁶⁶ See APPENDIX 13

⁶⁷ See page 2...APPENDIX 11

⁶⁸ Literally the same group of words that section 32 of Larceny Act 1916 begins with (only at pretence/s the section 32 uses singular and Cambridge dictionary plural form of this noun)

⁶⁹ See page 2...APPENDIX 11

⁷⁰ See nr 1 and nr 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

As we have just explained above in this analysis on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11...

- the victim's signature was not captured on paper
- the victim's parcel was not delivered and
- the victim's signature was not received

Why did courier/sorter 475 perpetrate this??? As definition on page 2...APPENDIX 11 says "...in order to get something..." or in other words "...in order to get..." the victim's parcel (paper and ink)^{71, 72}

Section 32⁷³ of the Larceny Act 1916, further, says...

(1) with intent to defraud, obtains from any other person any chattel,

Chattel, in Practical Law dictionary, refers to "...A thing that a person can possess in physical form; a tangible, movable asset (for example, a piece of jewellery, a painting or a car and, in some contexts, goods, equipment or machinery)..."⁷⁴

We will now focus on "obtains" that the foregoing excerpt refers to. We have the fact that the theft was completed on 3rd February 2022 at 09:05:11⁷⁵



...which means courier/sorter 475 at that time "...with intent to defraud..." obtained from the victim the parcel (paper and ink)^{76, 77}

Apart from "obtains" Section 32 (1) refers to another important point...DEFRAUD and we see that Cambridge dictionary brilliantly explained meaning of the notion...

"...to take something illegally from a person, company, etc., or to prevent someone from having something that is legally theirs by making statements that are not true"⁷⁸

⁷¹ See APPENDIX 9

⁷² See page 2...APPENDIX 1

⁷³ See APPENDIX 13

⁷⁴ See APPENDIX 14

⁷⁵ See nr 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

⁷⁶ See APPENDIX 9

⁷⁷ See page 2...APPENDIX 1

⁷⁸ See APPENDIX 15

Courier/sorter 475 “intellectually” demonstrated materialization of this definition in praxis.⁷⁹

Section 32 of Larceny Act 1916 ends in this way.....

shall be guilty of a misdemeanour and on conviction thereof liable to penal servitude for any term not exceeding five years.

(see page 13/27...APPENDIX 13)

Section 4.- Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001⁸⁰

It is notable that Section 4.- Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) 2001 refers to section 5⁸¹ of the same Act which⁸² is, however, not applicable in these circumstances!

section 4. – (6) is very clear...

(6) A person guilty of theft is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

...and section 4.(5) further clarifies the circumstances....

(5) In this section—

“appropriates”, in relation to property, means usurps or adversely interferes with the proprietary rights of the owner of the property;

“depriving” means temporarily or permanently depriving.

(6) A person guilty of theft is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

We, further, for a reason refer to sections 4.-1 and 4.-2..

⁷⁹ See nr 2...page 2a...APPENDIX 2

⁸⁰ See APPENDIX 12

⁸¹ See...page 10... APPENDIX 12

4.—(1) Subject to section 5

⁸² ...Section 5..

4.—(1) Subject to *section 5*, a person is guilty of theft if he or she dishonestly appropriates property without the consent of its owner and with the intention of depriving its owner of it.

(2) For the purposes of this section a person does not appropriate property without the consent of its owner if—

(a) the person believes that he or she has the owner's consent, or would have the owner's consent if the owner knew of the appropriation of the property and the circumstances in which it was appropriated, or

(b) (except where the property came to the person as trustee or personal representative) he or she appropriates the property in the belief that the owner cannot be discovered by taking reasonable steps,

but consent obtained by deception or intimidation is not consent for those purposes.

It is notable that both sections refer to “property.” Section 4.- (1) and (2)...four times and section 4.- (5). two times. That imposes need to really comprehend meaning of the concept and we see that this noun points to “...anything that is owned by a person or entity...”⁸³ “...property includes not only money and other tangible things of value, but also any intangible right considered as a source or element of income or wealth.”⁸⁴

Apart from it section 4.- points to the following concepts ALL of which faithfully describe courier/sorter 475, Forrest Conlon and Group Chief Executive Officer in Fastway and horrific criminal offences they, acting in concert, deliberately perpetrated....

- “theft” - law dictionary defines the term as “the generic term for all crimes in which a person intentionally and fraudulently takes personal property of another without permission or consent and with the intent to convert it to the taker’s use (including potential sale).”⁸⁵
- “dishonest” which in dictionary.com refers to “...lack of honesty; a disposition to lie, cheat, or steal.”⁸⁶

⁸³ See APPENDIX 16

⁸⁴ See APPENDIX 17

⁸⁵ See APPENDIX 18

⁸⁶ See APPENDIX 19...more thorough understanding of “dishonesty” cannot be reached without analysis of “deceit” given in APPENDIX 20

- “appropriates” – explained in section 4.- (5) which, however, further points to the two ‘new’ concepts: “usurps” and “adversely interferes”⁸⁷...let us unfold them both and see what is inside.
- “usurp” in the Law Dictionary ”...means to seize and hold a thing you have no right to seize and hold...”⁸⁸
- we will, now, separate “adversely interferes” into its smaller component parts and see that adverse means “...negative and unpleasant; not likely to produce a good result.”⁸⁹ while, on the other side, ‘interfere’ defines the verb as “...to involve yourself in a situation when your involvement is not wanted or is not helpful..”⁹⁰
- section 4. – (5) mentions “proprietary rights” which “...are the rights that accompany legal ownership of tangible or intangible property over or in respect of property...”⁹¹
- section 4. – (5) points out one of the most crucial concepts....

owner of the property

Let us now have a closer look at section 4.- (2) (a) and (b) of Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001 and break into smithereens even a tiniest fraction of legal salvation of the Fastway Couriers in Ireland.

Section 4.- (2) (a)⁹² says....

(2) For the purposes of this section a person does not appropriate property without the consent of its owner if—

(a) the person believes that he or she has the owner’s consent, or would have the owner’s consent if the owner knew of the appropriation of the property and the circumstances in which it was appropriated, or

What undeniably excludes any possibility that the Fastway Courier employee...

⁸⁷ See page 11...APPENDIX 12

⁸⁸ See APPENDIX 21

⁸⁹ See APPENDIX 22

⁹⁰ See APPENDIX 23

⁹¹ See APPENDIX 24

⁹² See page 10...APPENDIX 12

(a) courier/sorter 475 believed that he had the owner's consent, or would have the owner's consent if the owner knew of the appropriation of the property and the circumstances in which it was appropriated

...is their own acknowledgment and awareness that the parcel belongs to the victim and that the parcel "is on its way to its destination"⁹³

APPENDIX 2

page 1a

2022-02-02 16:03:33

Region: Dublin

Pickup

Courier/Sorter: 979

Your parcel has been picked up by the courier and is on its way to its destination.

Label: 3C1000242157

Where is "...its destination.."?... Well, "its destination"...is the apartment the victim lives in...(see APPENDIX 9) What further excludes any possibility of "...the owner's consent..." Section 4.- (2) (a)⁹⁴ points to, is raising the alarm the victim resorted to.⁹⁵

On top of everything else what courier/sorter 475 perpetrated is NOT the appropriation but the insolent and disgusting THEFT!!!

Let us, now, exclude any possibility of application of section 4.- (2) (b) in Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences)

Section 4.- (2) (b)⁹⁶ says....

⁹³ See page 1a...APPENDIX 2

⁹⁴ See page 10...APPENDIX 12

⁹⁵ See APPENDIX 5 and APPENDIX 3

⁹⁶ See page 10...APPENDIX 12

(2) For the purposes of this section a person does not appropriate property without the consent of its owner if—

(b) (except where the property came to the person as trustee or personal representative) he or she appropriates the property in the belief that the owner cannot be discovered by taking reasonable steps,

Courier/sorter 475 was absolutely aware where the owner of the property (the victim) lives and APPENDIX 9 excludes any application of Section 4.- (2) (b)⁹⁷ which is undeniable confirmation of application of Section 4.- (1)⁹⁸...

4.—(1) Subject to section 5, a person is guilty of theft if he or she dishonestly appropriates property without the consent of its owner and with the intention of depriving its owner of it.

Once more...Section 4.- (6)⁹⁹ Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001 clearly says...

(6) A person guilty of theft is liable on conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 10 years or both.

All findings of this analysis were and are at the disposal of both criminals Forrest Conlon (Customer Service Executive) and the Group Chief Executive Officer because they have the same, literally the same documentation as we do and the Acts they violated are available to both them and us <https://www.irishstatutebook.ie> It further means that these two individuals brutally and insolently violated sections 7 and 8 – Criminal Law Act 1997.¹⁰⁰

It is very important to note that criminal Leo Fay¹⁰¹...

⁹⁷ See page 10...APPENDIX 12

⁹⁸ See page 10...APPENDIX 12

⁹⁹ See page 11...APPENDIX 12 (green highlighted)

¹⁰⁰ See APPENDIX 25

¹⁰¹ See APPENDIX 28



Criminal: malevolent¹ solicitor Leo Fay of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors
Source: <https://www.mjksolicitors.ie/about-us/>
Accessed on 26th May 2024

... of Michael J. Kennedy Solicitors from Baldoyle received this file on 15th February 2022,¹⁰² subsequently concealed it and NEVER provided the victim with **the reminder of the documents**¹⁰³ containing this file. In that way criminal Leo Fay, as an expert at law field with **TWENTY SEVEN**¹⁰⁴ years of experience, violated **FIVE**, we repeat, **FIVE** Acts...

¹⁰² See APPENDIX 26

¹⁰³ See APPENDIX 27

¹⁰⁴ See APPENDIX 32

- Section 10.- (1) (a) ...concealing...Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act 2001¹⁰⁵ ...
- Section 14.- (1) Solicitors Act 1954¹⁰⁶
- Section 50...Legal Services Regulation Act 2015¹⁰⁷
- Sections 7 and 8 – Criminal Law Act 1997¹⁰⁸ and as a member of the Criminal Organization...
- ...Part 7 – Organized Crime – Criminal Justice Act 2006¹⁰⁹

“criminal organisation” means a structured group, however organised, that—

- (a) is composed of 3 or more persons acting in concert,
- (b) is established over a period of time,
- (c) has as its main purpose or main activity the commission or facilitation of one or more serious offences in order to obtain, directly or indirectly, a financial or other material benefit;

¹⁰⁵ See APPENDIX 10

¹⁰⁶ See APPENDIX 30

¹⁰⁷ See APPENDIX 31

¹⁰⁸ See APPENDIX 25

¹⁰⁹ See APPENDIX 29